

DEVELOPMENTAL SCALES FOR THE PUPIL GUIDANCE QUALITY AREA

MAINSTREAM INFANT AND PRIMARY EDUCATION

1. Information about the developmental scales

The Education Inspectorate evaluates quality within development scales, which consist of four development levels.

BELOW EXPECTATIONS

Several key elements can be improved.

APPROACHES EXPECTATIONS

In addition to strengths, there are also a number of points for improvement. As a result, it still does not fully meet expectations.

MEETS EXPECTATIONS

There are many strengths and no major points or areas for improvement. The whole is in line with expectations.

EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS

There are many strengths including significant examples of good practice.

The development level "meets expectations" means that the school meets the quality expectations of the reference framework for quality in education (OK). It is the target for every school. The development level "exceeds expectations" means there is also an example of good practice. An example of good practice exceeds the usual expectations and has a positive impact on the results and effects of pupils. An example of good practice is often strongly embedded in the functioning of the school or a sub-team, is also validated and may inspire other schools or sub-teams.



2. The developmental scales

L1. Broad basic care

BELOW	APPROACHES	MEETS
EXPECTATIONS	EXPECTATIONS	EXPECTATIONS
The school team does not take sufficient steps to stimulate the optimal development of all pupils. The school team does not have sufficient insight into what can promote or hinder the development of the pupils. The school team does not create a powerful learning environment and hardly implements any actions in the field of learning and study- ing, educational career, psychological and so- cial functioning and preventive healthcare that the school context and the characteris- tics of the pupil group require. The school team does not monitor the pupils sufficiently.	optimal development of all pupils. The school team is making a number of efforts to create a strong learning environment and is plan- ning a number of actions in the field of learn- ing and studying, educational career, psycho- logical and social functioning and preventive healthcare. The school team misses out on opportunities to adapt the learning environ- ment and actions to the context of the school and the characteristics of the pupil group and to implement the actions at the school and	The school team encourages the optimal de- velopment of all pupils. The school team takes into account the school context and the characteristics of the pupil group to create a strong learning environment and plan pro-ac- tive and preventive actions in the field of learning and studying, educational career, psychological and social functioning and pre- ventive healthcare. The school team imple- ments these actions at the school and class- room level and monitors the pupils systemat- ically.

EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS

The school team encourages the optimal development of all pupils. The school team takes into account the school context and the characteristics of the pupil group to create a strong learning environment and plan pro-active and preventive actions in the field of learning and studying, educational career, psychological and social functioning and preventive healthcare. The school team implements these actions at the school and classroom level and monitors the pupils systematically. The way in which the school team shapes the broad basic care is an example of good practice.



L2. Appropriate guidance

BELOW	APPROACHES	MEETS
EXPECTATIONS	EXPECTATIONS	EXPECTATIONS
The school team does not provide adequate guidance for pupils with special educational		The school team has an overview of the spe- cific educational needs of the pupils and step-
needs. The guidance initiatives are rarely based on the specific educational needs of the pupils, do not really follow a step-by-step	step-by-step guidance to ensure equal oppor- tunities is based on this. The guidance be- comes more individual to a limited extent as	ties is based on this. The guidance becomes more individual as the need for care in-
approach and are hardly followed up. The school team does not involve the pupils or the parents much or not at all in the guid-	the need for care increases. The school team does not always succeed in customizing opti- mum guidance measures for pupils with spe-	creases. The school team implements guid- ance measures for pupils with special educa- tional needs, follows their effects and adjusts
ance.	cial educational needs or in monitoring their effects and adjusting them if necessary . The school team does not yet take every oppor-	them if necessary . The school team involves the pupils, the parents and relevant partners in the guidance. The communication about the guidance is accessible and transparent.
	transparent way.	

EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS

The school team has an overview of the specific educational needs of the pupils and step-by-step guidance to ensure equal opportunities is based on this. The guidance becomes more individual as the need for care increases. The school team implements guidance measures for pupils with special educational needs, follows their effects and adjusts them if necessary . The school team involves the pupils, the parents and relevant partners in the guidance. The communication about the guidance is accessible and transparent. The way in which the school team shapes the guidance via ongoing care is an example of good practice.



L3. Cooperation with the PGC

BELOW	APPROACHES	MEETS
EXPECTATIONS	EXPECTATIONS	EXPECTATIONS
The school hardly ever cooperates with the	The school cooperates to a limited extent	The school cooperates systematically and ac-
Pupil Guidance Centre (PGC) and rarely asks	with the Pupil Guidance Centre (PGC) for pu-	cording to transparent schedules with the Pu-
for assistance. The cooperation agreements	pil guidance and reinforcing the school team.	pil Guidance Centre (PGC) based on school-
between the school team and the PGC are	Cooperation agreements exist between the	specific partnership agreements for pupil
non-existent or incomplete. The school team	school team and the PGC but the agreements	guidance and reinforcing the school team.
seldom cooperates with the PGC for referrals	are not always school-specific, transparent	The school team bases its support requests
to guidance outside the school.	and complete. The school team asks the PGC	on concrete needs and on an analysis of bot-
	for assistance to a limited degree when the	tlenecks. The school makes a request for as-
	broad basic care and the increased care	sistance to the PGC if the broad basic care
	measures are not sufficient. The school team	and the increased care measures do not suf-
	seldom cooperates with the PGC for referrals	fice. The school team uses the pivotal hub of
	to guidance outside the school.	the PGC for referrals to guidance outside the
		school.

EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS

The school cooperates in a systematic, planned and transparent manner with the Pupil Guidance Centre (PGC) based on school-specific partnership agreements for pupil guidance and reinforcing the school team. The school team bases its support requests on concrete needs and on an analysis of bottlenecks. The school makes a request for assistance to the PGC if the broad basic care and the increased care measures do not suffice. The school team uses the pivotal hub of the PGC for referrals to guidance outside the school. The way in which the school team cooperates with the PGC is an example of good practice.



L4. Support for teachers

BELOW	APPROACHES	MEETS
EXPECTATIONS	EXPECTATIONS	EXPECTATIONS
The school barely has insight into the support	The school has limited insight into the sup-	The school coordinates the guidance initia-
needs of teachers. There are not many	port needs of teachers. The school team uses	tives. It identifies the support needs of teach-
measures and agreements for pupil guidance	measures and agreements and organizes pro-	ers and invests in measures, agreements and
and the school team takes little or no profes-	fessionalization activities, however, these ac-	professionalization activities to shape and
sionalization initiatives to enhance the ac-	tivities have a limited impact on the design of	where necessary reinforce the actions of
tions of teachers in the broad basic care and	pupil guidance and on reinforcing teachers'	teachers in the broad basic care and in the in-
the increased care. The guidance initiatives	actions in the broad basic care and in the in-	creased care. The support is teacher- and
are not very well coordinated. The school	creased care. The support is usually teacher-	team-oriented with a clear effect on teaching
team hardly ever calls on the PGC, the school	and team-oriented but has a limited effect on	practice. The school team calls on the PGC,
advisory service or any other external service	teaching practice. The guidance initiatives are	the school advisory service or any other ex-
for additional substantive expertise.	coordinated to a limited degree. The school	ternal service or the support network for ad-
	team neglects opportunities to call on the	ditional substantive expertise.
	PGC, the school advisory service or any other	
	external service or on the support network	
	for additional substantive expertise.	

EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS

The school coordinates the guidance initiatives. It identifies the support needs of teachers and invests in measures, agreements and professionalization activities to shape and where necessary reinforce the actions of teachers in the broad basic care and in the increased care. The support is teacher- and team-oriented with a clear effect on teaching practice. The school team calls on the PGC, the school advisory service or any other external service or the support network for additional substantive expertise. The way in which the school team supports the actions of teachers in pupil guidance is an example of good practice.